organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Zi-Liang Wang,^a Lin-Heng Wei,^b Ming-Xue Li^a and Jing-Yang Niu^a*

^aCollege of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, People's Republic of China, and ^bCollege of Environment and Planning, Henan University, Kaifeng 475001, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jyniu@henu.edu.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 292 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å H-atom completeness 77% Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.052 wR factor = 0.163 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. The crystal structure of the title salt, $C_6H_{18}N_2^{2+}\cdot 2C_7H_4NO_3S^-$, contains two crystallographically independent hexamethylenediammonium dications and two independent saccharinate

Hexamethylenediammonium bis(saccharinate):

supramolecular assembly via hydrogen bonds

enediammonium dications and two independent saccharinate anions; each of the dications occupies a special position on an inversion centre. The extensive hydrogen-bonding system, involving all six 'active' H atoms, links the anions and cations into an infinite three-dimensional supramolecular assembly.

Comment

Hydrogen bonding has long been considered to be of importance in biological systems and molecular recognition (Philp & Stoddart, 1996). In recent years, there has been increasing interest in the use of hydrogen bonding for the design and synthesis of supramolecular assemblies and molecular architectures (Prior & Rosseinsky, 2000). However, to the best of our knowledge, no such supramolecular systems have, as yet, been based on the salts of organic bases with the saccharinate anion. Here we describe the structure of hexamethylenediammomium bis(saccharinate), (I), which features a threedimensional supramolecular hydrogen-bonded assembly.



The crystal structure of (I) contains two independent diammonium dications and two saccharinate anions (Fig. 1); each of the dications occupies a special position on an inversion centre. The asymmetric unit of the structure is therefore made up of two half hexamethylenediammonium dications and two saccharinate anions. The cations and anions are held together in the crystal structure through an extensive system of hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2), which involves all six symmetryindependent 'active' H atoms as donors, as well as six O atoms belonging to two saccharinate anions as acceptors (Table 1). These hydrogen bonds link cations and anions into an infinite three-dimensional supramolecular assembly.

Experimental

All reagents were commercially available and of analytical grade. Hexamethylenediamine (1.0 mmol, 0.116 g) was added to an aqueous solution (20 ml) of saccharin (2.0 mmol, 0.376 g). The mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at 353 K. The solution was filtered, and the

Received 4 January 2006 Accepted 6 March 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



Figure 1

The independent ions of (I). Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms bonded to the C atoms of the hexamethylenediammonium cations as well as the minor occupancy component of the disordered cation have been omitted for clarity. Unlabeled atoms in the N4 cation are related to labeled atoms by -x, 2 - y, -z. Unlabeled atoms in the N3 cation are related to labeled atoms by 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of (I), viewed down the a axis. Only H atoms bonded to the N atoms are shown. The minor occupancy component of one of the hexamethylenediammonium cations has been omitted.

filtrate was kept at room temperature. After 4 d, colorless crystals of (I) were obtained.

Crystal data

-2 $+ 2$	-3
$C_6H_{18}N_2^{-1} \cdot 2C_7H_4NO_3S$	$D_x = 1.423 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 476.52$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 3509
a = 11.4799 (10) Å	reflections
b = 11.5301 (10) Å	$\theta = 2.4-26.9^{\circ}$
c = 16.9116 (15) Å	$\mu = 0.28 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 96.499 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 292 (2) K
V = 2224.1 (3) Å ³	Irregular fragment, colorless
Z = 4	$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-	3116 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
detector diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.027$
ω scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: none	$h = -11 \rightarrow 13$
10895 measured reflections	$k = -13 \rightarrow 13$
3907 independent reflections	$l = -20 \rightarrow 20$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0922P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$	+ 0.7478P]

 $wR(F^2) = 0.163$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S = 1.08 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.43 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}$ 3907 reflections $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.37 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 310 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D-H\cdots A$ $D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ $D-H\cdots A$ $N3-H3A\cdots O2^i$ 0.89 2.07 2.872 (3) 149 $N3-H3B\cdots O6^{ii}$ 0.89 1.84 2.726 (3) 174 $N3-H3C\cdots O4^i$ 0.89 2.45 3.077 (3) 128 $N3-H3C\cdots O1^{ii}$ 0.89 2.45 3.077 (3) 147 $N3-H3C\cdots O1^{ii}$ 0.89 2.26 3.041 (3) 147 $N4-H4A\cdots O1^{ii}$ 0.89 2.25 3.024 (3) 146 $N4-H4B\cdots O3^{iii}$ 0.89 1.87 2.757 (3) 178 $N4-H4B\cdots O3^{iii}$ 0.89 2.20 2.844 (2) 154					
N3-H3A···O2 ⁱ 0.89 2.07 2.872 (3) 149 N3-H3A···O6 ⁱⁱ 0.89 1.84 2.726 (3) 174 N3-H3B···O6 ⁱⁱ 0.89 1.84 2.726 (3) 174 N3-H3C···O4 ⁱⁱ 0.89 2.45 3.077 (3) 128 N3-H3C···O1 ⁱⁱ 0.89 2.26 3.041 (3) 147 N4-H4A···O1 ⁱⁱ 0.89 2.43 3.065 (3) 128 N4-H4A···O4 ⁱⁱ 0.89 2.25 3.024 (3) 146 N4-H4B···O3 ⁱⁱⁱ 0.89 1.87 2.757 (3) 178	$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
	$N3 - H3A \cdots O2^{i}$ $N3 - H3B \cdots O6^{ii}$ $N3 - H3C \cdots O4^{i}$ $N3 - H3C \cdots O1^{ii}$ $N4 - H4A \cdots O1^{ii}$ $N4 - H4A \cdots O4^{i}$ $N4 - H4B \cdots O3^{iii}$ $O5^{iii}$	0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89 0.89	2.07 1.84 2.45 2.26 2.43 2.25 1.87	2.872 (3) 2.726 (3) 3.077 (3) 3.041 (3) 3.065 (3) 3.024 (3) 2.757 (3) 2.844 (3)	149 174 128 147 128 146 178

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) x, y + 1, z; (iii) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) x - 1, v + 1, z.

All H atoms were placed in calculated positions, with C-H = 0.93(aromatic) or 0.97 Å (hexane) and N-H = 0.89 Å (amine), and were refined as riding, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for aromatic and hexane H atoms and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(N)$. Initial refinement yielded unusually high displacement parameters for atoms C19 and C20. The difference map revealed peaks of substantial height at possible alternative positions for these two atoms. Introduction of these positions into the refinement produced a significant improvement in the overall precision of the structure. The refinement of the occupancy factors suggested an almost equal contribution of both components of the disorder. In the final refinement, atoms C19 and C20 were included with site-occupancy factors of 0.511(10), while atoms C19' and C20' have site-occupancy factors of 0.489 (10). The two distances C18-C19 and C18-C19' were restrained to be approximately equal; no H atoms were located on any of the disordered atoms.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: PLATON (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON.

This work was supported by the Basic Research Foundation for Natural Science of Henan University.

References

Bruker (2001). SAINT-Plus (Version 6.45) and SMART (Version 5.628). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Philp, D. & Stoddart, J. F. (1996). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35, 1154-1196. Prior, T. J. & Rosseinsky, M. J. (2000). *CrystEngComm*, 24, 128–133.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXS97* and *SHELXL97*. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2001). SADABS. Version 2.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7–13.